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#### Abstract

In this document, we provide the description of the 19 test functions (F1-F19*) that should be used for the experimental study for the Special Issue of Soft Computing on Scalability of Evolutionary Algorithms and other Metaheuristics for Large Scale Continuous Optimization Problems.

In Section 1 (page 2), we report the main features and properties of the functions F1-F11 and, in Section 2 (page 10), we explain the way hybrid composition functions F12-F19* are obtained combining two functions belonging to the set F1-F11.
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## 1 Functions F1-F11

The F1-F11 functions are the following:

- Shifted Unimodal Functions:
- F1: Shifted Sphere Function
- F2: Shifted Schwefel's Problem 2.21
- Shifted Multimodal Functions:
- F3: Shifted Rosenbrock's Function
- F4: Shifted Rastrigin's Function
- F5: Shifted Griewank's Function
- F6: Shifted Ackley's Function
- Other Shifted Unimodal Functions
- F7: Shifted Schwefel's Problem 2.22
- F8: Shifted Schwefel's Problem 1.2
- F9: Shifted Extended f10
- F10: Shifted Bohachevsky
- F11: Shifted Schaffer

The definition of these functions are shown in Table 1 and their features are sketched in Table 2. Figures 1-6 show graphically these functions for the case of $D=2$. Finally, their properties are described in Table 3 .

| Function | Name | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{1}$ | Shif. Sphere | $\sum_{i=1}^{D} z_{i}^{2}+f \_b i a s, z=x-o$ |
| $F_{2}$ | Shif. Schwefel 2.21 | $\max _{D-1}\left\{\left\|z_{i}\right\|, 1 \leq i \leq D\right\}+f \_ \text {bias, } z=x-o$ |
| $F_{3}$ | Shif. Rosenbrock | $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ D}}\left(100\left(z_{i}^{2}+z_{i+1}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{i}-1\right)^{2}\right)+f_{-} \text {bias, } z=x-o$ |
| $F_{4}$ | Shif. Rastrigin | $\sum_{i=1}\left(z_{i}^{2}-10 \cos \left(2 \pi z_{i}\right)+10\right)+f \text { _bias, } z=x-o$ |
| $F_{5}$ | Shif. Griewank | $\sum_{i=1} \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{4000}-\prod_{i=1} \cos \left(\frac{z_{i}}{\sqrt{i}}\right)+1+f \_ \text {bias, } z=x-o$ |
| $F_{6}$ | Shif. Ackley | $\begin{aligned} & -20 \exp \left(-0.2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^{D} z_{i}^{2}}\right)-\exp \left(\frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \cos \left(2 \pi z_{i}\right)\right) \\ & +20+e+\underset{D}{f \text { _bias }} \\ & { }_{D} \end{aligned}$ |
| $F_{7}$ | Shif. Schwefel 2.22 | $\sum_{i=1}\left\|z_{i}\right\|+\prod_{i=1}\left\|z_{i}\right\|, z=x-o$ |
| $F_{8}$ | Shif. Schwefel. 1.2 | $\sum_{i=1}\left(\sum_{j=1} z_{j}\right)^{2}, z=x-o$ |
| $F_{9}$ | Shif. Extended $f_{10}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D-1} f_{10}\left(z_{i}, z_{i+1}\right)\right)+f_{10}\left(z_{D}, z_{1}\right), z=x-o \\ & f_{10}=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{0.25} \cdot\left(\sin ^{2}\left(50 \cdot\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{0.1}\right)+1\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $F_{10}$ | Shif. Bohachevsky | $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ D-1}}\left(z_{i}^{2}+2 z_{i+1}^{2}-0.3 \cos \left(3 \pi z_{i}\right)-0.4 \cos \left(4 \pi z_{i+1}\right)+0.7\right), z=x-o$ |
| $F_{11}$ | Shif. Schaffer | $\sum_{i=1}\left(z_{i}^{2}+z_{i+1}^{2}\right)^{0.25}\left(\sin ^{2}\left(50 \cdot\left(z_{i}^{2}+z_{i+1}^{2}\right)^{0.1}\right)+1\right), z=x-o$ |

Table 1: Functions F1-F11

In Table 3, we can see that there are many non-separable functions that may be easily optimized dimension by dimension. In general, this occurs when the fitness is calculated by the sum operator and:

- Each variable affects to one operator only. Thus, the fitness can be optimized by adjusting each variable. This is the case for functions F1, F4, F6, and F7.
- Each variable affects to two operators of the sum, but it affects to the operators in the same way. Thus, the optimum can be reached making a search dimension by dimension. This is the case of functions F3, F9, and F11.

| Function | Name | Range | Fitness Optimum |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | Shifted Sphere Function | $[-100,100]^{D}$ | -450 |
| F2 | Shifted Schwefel's Problem 2.21 | $[-100,100]^{D}$ | -450 |
| F3 | Shifted Rosenbrock's Function | $[-100,100]^{D}$ | 390 |
| F4 | Shifted Rastrigin's Function | $[-5,5]^{D}$ | -330 |
| F5 | Shifted Griewank's Function | $[-600,600]^{D}$ | -180 |
| F6 | Shifted Ackley's Function | $[-32,32]^{D}$ | -140 |
| F7 | Shifted Schwefel's Problem 2.22 | $[-10,10]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F8 | Shifted Schwefel's Problem 1.2 | $[-65.536,65.536]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F9 | Shifted Extended $f_{10}$ | $[-100,100]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F10 | Shifted Bohachevsky | $[-15,15]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F11 | Shifted Schaffer | $[-100,100]^{D}$ | 0 |

Table 2: Features of F1-F11

| Function | Unimodal/ <br> Multimodal | Shifted | Separable | Easily optimized <br> dimension by dimension |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | U | Y | Y | Y |
| F2 | U | Y | N | N |
| F3 | M | Y | N | Y |
| F4 | M | Y | Y | Y |
| F5 | M | Y | N | N |
| F6 | M | Y | Y | Y |
| F7 | U | Y | Y | Y |
| F8 | U | Y | N | N |
| F9 | U | Y | N | Y |
| F10 | U | Y | N | N |
| F11 | U | Y | N | Y |

Table 3: Properties of F1-F11

To sum up, from F1-F11, there are 7 functions that may be easily optimized variable by variable.


Figure 1: Shifted Sphere and Shifted Schwefel


Figure 2: Shifted Rosenbrock and Shifted Rastrigin


Figure 3: Shifted Griewank and Shifted Ackley Figures


Figure 4: Shifted Schwefel Problems 2.22 and 1.2


Figure 5: Shifted Extended Function 10 and Bohachevsky Function


Figure 6: Shifted Schaffer Function

## 2 Hybrid Composition Functions (F12-F19*)

The hybrid composition functions, F12-F19*, are built combining a non-separable function with other function. The considered functions are:

- Non-Separable Functions:
- F3: Shifted Rosenbrock's Function
- F5: Shifted Griewank's Function
- NS-F9: Non-shifted Extended f10
- NS-F10: Non-shifted Bohachevsky
- Other Component Functions:
- F1: Shifted Sphere Function
- F4: Shifted Rastrigin's Function
- NS-F7: Non-shifted Schwefel's Problem 2.22

The procedure used to hybridize a non-separable function $F_{n s}$ with other function $F^{\prime}$ (function $F_{n s} \oplus F^{\prime}$ ) is shown in Figure 7. Its main steps are: 1) to divide the solution into two parts, 2) to evaluate each one of them with a different function, and 3) to combine their results. The splitting mechanism uses a parameter, $m_{n s}$, which specifies the ratio of variables that are evaluated by $F_{n s}$. Using a higher value of $m_{n s}$, the hybrid function becomes more difficult to optimize dimension by dimension, because there is a greater interrelation between the variables and the fitness. With this procedure, we have defined the instances of hybrid functions shown in Table 4.

| Name | $F_{n s}$ | $F^{\prime}$ | $m_{n s}$ | Range | Fitness Optimum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F12 | NS-F9 | F1 | 0.25 | $[-100,100]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F13 | NS-F9 | F3 | 0.25 | $[-100,100]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F14 | NS-F9 | F4 | 0.25 | $[-5,5]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F15 | NS-F10 | NS-F7 | 0.25 | $[-10,10]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F16 | NS-F9 | F1 | 0.5 | $[-100,100]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F17 | NS-F9 | F3 | 0.75 | $[-100,100]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F18 $^{*}$ | NS-F9 | F4 | 0.75 | $[-5,5]^{D}$ | 0 |
| F19* | NS-F10 | NS-F7 | 0.75 | $[-10,10]^{D}$ | 0 |

Table 4: Hybrid composition functions
We should point out that the hybrid F15 and F19* functions were shifted.

Function $F_{n s} \oplus F^{\prime}(S)$

1. $S$ is divided into two parts (part ${ }_{1}$ and part $_{2}$ ):

- If $m_{n s} \leq 0.5$ then
- part ${ }_{1}$ is composed by the first $D \cdot m_{n s}$ even variables. $\left(\right.$ length $\left.^{\left(\text {part }_{1}\right)}=D \cdot m_{n s}\right)$
- part $_{2}$ is composed by the remaining variables. $\left(\right.$ length $^{\left(\text {part }_{2}\right)}=D-$ length $^{\left.\left(\text {part }_{1}\right)\right)}$
- If $m_{n s}>0.5$ then
- part ${ }_{2}$ is composed by the first $D \cdot\left(1-m_{n s}\right)$ odd variables. $\left(\right.$ length $\left.^{\left(\text {part }_{2}\right)}=D \cdot\left(1-m_{n s}\right)\right)$
- part ${ }_{1}$ is composed by the remaining variables. $\left(\right.$ length $\left(\right.$ part $\left._{1}\right)=D-\operatorname{length}\left(\right.$ part $\left.\left._{2}\right)\right)$

2. Return $F_{n s}\left(\right.$ part $\left._{1}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(\right.$ part $\left._{2}\right)$.

Figure 7: Evaluation of a solution $S$ (with $D$ variables) by the hybrid function $F_{n s} \oplus F^{\prime}$
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