

Relais Request No. REG-26280746

Delivery Method

Ariel SMK11150 12087701 SED99

Date Printed: 20-Nov-2008 09:53

Request Number

Scan Date Printed:

Date Submitted:

18-Nov-2008 08:27

TITLE: SOVIET JOURNAL OF AUTOMATION AND INFORMATION SCIENCES C/C OF AVTOMATIKA.

YEAR: 9999

VOLUME/PART: VOL 18 PART 4 PAGES 92-94

PAGES: AUTHOR:

ARTICLE TITLE:

Customer Code

45-5008

SHELFMARK: 0422.820000

SERVICIO INFORMACION DOCUM Ariel Address: piugran@ugr.es

## Your Ref:

SMK11150 12087701 SED99|SOVIET JOURNAL OF AUTOMATION AND|INFORMATION SCIENCES(ENGLISH TRANSLATIO|N OF AVTOMATYKA)|VOL 18 PART 4 PAGES 92-94|LINGUISTIC DECISION-MAKING METHODS IN|MULTICRITERIAL SELECTION OF MODELS



## DELIVERING THE WORLD'S KNOWLEDGE This document has been supplied by the British Library www.bl.uk

The contents of the attached document are copyright works. Unless you have the permission of the copyright owner, the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd or another authorised licensing body, you may not copy, store in any electronic medium or otherwise reproduce or resell any of the content, even for internal purposes, except as may be allowed by law.

The document has been supplied under our Library Privilege service. You are therefore agreeing to the terms of supply for our Library Privilege service, available at :

http://www.bl.uk/reshelp/atyourdesk/docsupply/help/terms/index.html

## Linguistic Decision-Making Methods in Multicriterial Selection of Models

V.B. SILOV AND D.V. VILENCHIK

(Sevastopol Machine-Manufacturing Institute)

Questions are considered of choosing the best model in GMDH algorithms using several mutually opposing external criteria. A linguistic external criterion is proposed based on the theory of fuzzy sets and fuzzy measures. The best model is chosen according to the maximum of the measure of closeness to the best "Utopian" model.

\* \* \*

To ensure high noise immunity in the synthesis of models by the methods of inductive selforganization [1], one uses simultaneously several external criteria, which, in formalized form,
reflect the requirements imposed on the model being synthesized. Since these requirements may
prove contradictory, the problem arises of multicriterial choice in the field of efficient decisions. The choice of the unique best model can be made only by the decision maker on the
basis of doubly pragmatic considerations. The decision maker may use his own experience, intuition, or expert estimates to give to each competing model an integral estimate: the model
is good, not very good, bad, etc. Having a system of preferences of the decision maker in the
form of a linguistic model [2], one can formalize the process of the decision maker and write
it in the form of an algorithm that is suitable for realization on a computer. The purpose of
the present article is to introduce a linguistic external criterion characterizing integrally
the quality of the models.

Statement of the problem. Suppose that we have a set of models  $A = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$  and that the quality of each model has been estimated for external criteria. Consequently, we are given a set of external criteria  $\Xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)$  and their basis sets  $X_j, j = \overline{1, k}$ , on which the corresponding sets of linguistic variables  $A_j, j = \overline{1, k}$  are defined. Corresponding to the values of the linguistic variables  $a_{kj} \in A_j$ , characterizing the value of the j-th criterion for the k-th model are fuzzy subsets  $\widetilde{a}_{kj} \in \mathfrak{F}(X_j)$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}(X_j)$  is the set of fuzzy subsets of the basis set  $X_j$  [2]. The linguo-logical model of [2], characterizing the preferences of the decision maker, is given in the form of a scheme of fuzzy reasonings of the form

where  $\dot{c}_{ij} \in \Lambda_j$ ,  $\dot{u}_i \in Y$ , Y being the set of values of the linguistic variable characterizing the util-

Corresponding to the value of the linguistic variables  $c_{ij}$  and  $u_i$  are the fuzzy subsets  $\tilde{c}_{ij} \in \mathfrak{F}(X_j)$  and  $\tilde{u}_i \in \mathfrak{F}(Y)$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}(Y)$  is the set of fuzzy subsets of the basis set Y characterizing the utility. The problem consists in determining the fuzzy utility for all models  $A_k$ ,  $k=\overline{1,m}$ , in accordance with the chosen scheme of fuzzy reasonings, and choosing the best model according to the maximum of the measure of closeness to the best "Utopian" utility [2]. The fuzzy subset  $u_k \in \mathfrak{F}(Y)$  characterizing the utility of the k-th model can be determined using the compositional rule of derivation [3]

$$\tilde{u}_{k}' = (\tilde{a}_{k1} \times \tilde{a}_{k2} \times ... \times \tilde{a}_{km}) \cdot \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\tilde{c}_{i1} \times ... \times \tilde{c}_{im}) \times \tilde{u}_{i}).$$

Using the properties of a fuzzy subset, we can show that

$$\tilde{u}_{k}' = \bigcup_{i \in I} \left( \bigcap_{i \in I} \tilde{a}_{kj} \circ \tilde{c}_{ij} \right) \times \tilde{u}_{i}; \ I \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{\overline{1, n}\}; \ J \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{\overline{1, m}\}.$$

In this expression, the maximum composition is simply the measure of the extent to which  $\dot{a}_{kj}$  is  $\dot{c}_{ij}$  [2]. Thus,

$$\tilde{u}_{k}' = \bigcup_{l \in I} \tilde{u}_{lk}^{\pi},$$

where  $\tilde{u}_{ik}^{\pi} = \{(y, \mu_{u_i} \land \pi_{ik})\}$  is the fuzzy set  $\Pi_i$  of probabilities,  $\mu_{u_i}: Y \to [0, 1]$  is the function of membership in the fuzzy subset,  $\pi_{ik} = \bigwedge_{j \in J} \operatorname{Poss}(\hat{a}_{kj} \mid \hat{c}_{ij})$ ; and  $\operatorname{Poss}(\hat{a}_{kj} \mid \hat{c}_{ij})$  is the measure of the possibility that  $\hat{a}_{kj}$  is  $\hat{c}_{ij}$ .

In the case in which the model quality is precisely described by linguistic variables, that is, when  $\dot{a}_{kj} \triangleq x_{kj}^{\bullet} \in X_j$ , we have

$$\sigma_k = f\mu_{\omega}(y) \cdot g(F_{\alpha}(\omega)) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} [\alpha \wedge g(F_{\alpha}^k)],$$

where  $\mu_{c_{ij}}(x_j):X_j\to [0,1]$  is the function of membership of  $\tilde{c}_{ij}$  in the fuzzy set.

The final choice of best model should be made on the basis of the maximum fuzzy measure of closeness of  $u'_k$  to the Utopian utility characterized by the fuzzy subset  $\omega \in \Im(Y)$ . In this case, we can use the fuzzy integral [4]

Poss 
$$(\dot{a}_{kj} \mid \dot{c}_{ij}) = \mu_{c_{ij}} (x_{kj}^*)$$
,

where  $F_{\alpha}^{k} = \{y \mid \mu_{u_{k}'}(y) \geqslant \alpha\}$ ;  $g(\cdot)$  is a fuzzy measure, and  $\mu_{u_{k}'}(y)$  is the membership function of Utopian utility.

As  $g(\cdot)$  we can take one of the following measures: Sugeno's measure [4], probability measure, and  $g_{\nu}$ -measure. When as  $g_{\nu}$  we take the probability measure, the measure of closeness takes the form

$$\sigma_k = \sup_{\nu \in Y} \{ \mu_{u_k'} \wedge \mu_{\omega} \}.$$

The measure  $g_{_{\mathfrak{V}}}$  is an extension of Tsukamoto's measure [5]. The normalization condition for it is

$$g_{v}^{k}(Y) = (1 - v) \sup_{y \in Y} \mu_{u_{k}'}(y) + v \int_{Y} \mu_{u_{k}'}(y) dy = 1$$

(where  $v \ge 0$ ).

For a denumerable set Y, the normalization condition in the case of Sugeno's measure is

$$g_{\lambda}^{k}(Y) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \prod_{y \in Y} \lambda \mu_{u_{k}}(y) + 1 \right) - 1 \right],$$

where  $\lambda \in (-1, +\infty)$ .

The formally final solution takes the form  $s = \underset{k \in K}{\operatorname{argsup}} \sigma_k$ , where s is the index of the best model.

The above procedure for choosing models on the basis of the maximum of the fuzzy measure of closeness to a hypothetical or Utopian model can be used to obtain the external linguistic criteria also in the case of self-organization of control systems.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Ivakhnenko, A.G., Dolgosrochnoye prognozirovaniye i upravleniye slozhnymi sistemami (Longrange Prediction and Control of Complex Systems), Tekhnika, Kiev, 1975.
- 2. Silov, V.B. and B.A. Zhurid, Application of a linguo-logical model in the choice of alternatives from several indices, In: IV Vsesoyuznyy seminar po upravleniyu pri nalichii rasplyvchatykh kategoriy (Fourth All-Union Seminar on Control when There are Fuzzy Categories), Tezy dokladov, Frunze, Ilim, 1982, pp. 46-48.
- 3. Baldwin, J.F. and B.W. Pilsworth, A model of fuzzy reasoning through multi-valued logic and set theory, Int. J. Man-Machine Studies, 11, No. 4, 1979, pp. 351-380.
- 4. Sugeno, M., Fuzzy measure and fuzzy integral, Trans. S. I. C. E., <u>8</u>, No. 2, 1972, pp. 95-102.
- 5. Tsukamoto, A., Identification of preference measure by means of fuzzy integral, Ann. Conf. of JORS, 1972.

 $\Box \blacklozenge \blacklozenge \blacklozenge \Box$